



INTERNATIONAL SECURITY POLICY

Obtained Under the Freedom of Information Act by Hans M. Kristensen 97-414

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-2600

DCINCLC ✓
JSB TAA
JS4

I-92/27173
REF: I-92/27166A
I-92/27166

M

October 30, 1992

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

TO: MICHELLE McINTYRE, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

THROUGH: EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR POLICY

FROM: Stephen J. Hadley, ASD(ISP), 50942

REQUEST: (U) To set up a briefing from STRATCOM on future U.S. strategic nuclear force requirements.

NOV 3 1992

NOV 2 1992

PURPOSE: (S) To examine alternative strategic nuclear force structures and requirements in light of the Washington Summit agreement limits.

BACKGROUND: (S) STRATCOM recently concluded a comprehensive study of strategic force structure requirements and alternatives in light of the Washington Summit agreement. It lays out the post-Summit phase I and II force structure alternatives, and highlights the importance of identifying in the near term the force structure with which we will want to move into the 21st century. In particular, the study highlights two key issues that have emerged and will require your decision: (1) the size and configuration of the strategic bomber force which remains committed to the SIOP, and of that which is reoriented to conventional missions; and (2) whether, and, if so, when to backfit with the D-5 missile the eight Trident SSBNs in the Pacific currently armed with the C-4.

DATE AND TIME: (U) We are working with STRATCOM to arrange the briefing ASAP (TAB A).

PARTICIPANTS: (U) SecDef, DepSecDef, CJCS, Gen. Butler, Mr. Wolfowitz, Mr. Hadley, Mr. Ingles, Mr. Miller, and Mr. Klinger.

CONTACTS: (U) Mr. John Klinger, 55678.

REVIEWED BY: DASD(NF&ACP) *WZol*

Obtained Under the Freedom of Information Act by Hans M. Kristensen 97-414

Classified by: ASD/ISP
Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

Obtained Under the Freedom of Information Act by Hans M. Kristensen

F1



INTERNATIONAL SECURITY POLICY

SECRET
ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-2690

OCT 26 1992

John
I want to follow this on this - make sure we're involved

9
15 Oct

In reply refer to:
#1-92/27166

Ph
10/15

MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

THROUGH: UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR POLICY PW

FROM: STEPHEN J. HADLEY, ASD/ISP *SH* 10 OCT 1992

OCT 14 1992

SUBJECT: Visit to U.S. Strategic Command on 6 October 1992 -- Trip Report

Action
PURPOSE: INFORMATION--(U) Earlier this week Gil Klinger and I traveled to U.S. Strategic Command (STRATCOM) Headquarters in Omaha for a series of briefings and discussions with General Butler and his staff. This memorandum summarizes our discussions, which included the results of STRATCOM's study of U.S. strategic nuclear force requirements in the wake of the Washington Summit agreement, and ongoing developments in the establishment of the Command.

(S) Since late July, STRATCOM has been conducting a comprehensive examination of strategic force structure requirements and alternatives in light of the Washington Summit agreement limits. The study included the participation of the Joint Staff, Air Force and Navy staffs, Air Combat Command, and representatives from LANT and PAC. The study does a comprehensive job of integrating force structure, targeting, and arms control issues. It lays out the

and highlights the importance of identifying now the force structure with which we will want to move into the 21st century.

(S) In undertaking this study, STRATCOM has already filled the void that we sought to eliminate through the establishment of the Command: providing a single voice which could (1) analyze impartially the full range of strategic force issues, integrating force structure, targeting, operational, and arms control considerations; and (2) speak to these national requirements in programmatic and budgetary fora, and bring them forward for your review.

(S) In that regard, two key issues have emerged from the study that will require your decision: 1) the size and configuration of the strategic bomber force which remains committed to the SIOP, and of that which is reoriented to conventional missions; and 2) whether and, if so, when to backfit with the D-5 missile the eight Trident SSBNs in the Pacific currently armed with the C-4. We will work with STRATCOM to bring this briefing forward for your consideration in next few weeks.

(S) In addition to the force structure study, STRATCOM is also working to establish the command and organizational relationships required for it to exercise responsibility and authority

Classified by: DASD, ISP-NF&ACP
Declassify on: OADR

79

SECRET

X60000

TCC
NA

~~SECRET~~

for force commitment, planning, and combat command over all three legs of the Triad.

(S) Our discussions reaffirmed the view that dealing with the consequences of the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and their means of delivery would be a major national preoccupation in the coming years. We will need to review our capabilities in this area

Obtained Under the
Freedom of Information Act
by Hans M. Kristensen