

## RUSSIA'S NONPROLIFERATION POLICY AND THE SITUATION IN EAST ASIA

## **Recommended Citation**

Vladimir Orlov, "RUSSIA'S NONPROLIFERATION POLICY AND THE SITUATION IN EAST ASIA", nuke policy, March 05, 2001, <a href="https://nautilus.org/nuke-policy/russias-nonproliferation-policy-an-the-situation-in-east-asia-2/">https://nautilus.org/nuke-policy/russias-nonproliferation-policy-an-the-situation-in-east-asia-2/</a>





RUSSIA'S NONPROLIFERATION POLICY AND THE SITUATION IN EAST ASIAby Vladimir Orlov (1)

Shanghai (A revised version) April 10, 2001

ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT
Russia's firm and unequivocal commitment to nuclear and WMD nonproliferation is based on domestic factors. In the current geopolitical conditions (challenges coming from Chechnya and other southern neighbors), Russia will not be able to give an adequate response to new challenges on the part of new possessors of nuclear, chemical and biological weapons, if emerged. Russia cannot afford to distract its resources to meet these potential threats that may emerge new its borders.

Occasional accusations of Russia's promoting proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and delivery systems by official transfer of materials, technology and expertise to Middle East nations (first, Iran, Syria, Libya) and Northeast Asia (North Korea) have no grounds. A real problems of the control of a contract of the control of a control of the control of the control of a control of the control of a control of the control of a control of the contr

## Pyongyang may play a positive and important role. RUSSIAN NONPROLIFERATION POLICY AND NATIONAL INTERESTS

RUSSIAN NONPROLIFERATION POLICY AND NATIONAL INTERESTS
As a declared nuclear-weapon state (NWS) Russia has a core interest in preserving and strengthening the NPT and the nuclear nonproliferation regime. According to the National Security Concept approved in January 2000, the need for strengthening the nonproliferation of WMD and their delivery systems is 'the primary task in the reas of maintaining alatonal security, while WMD proliferation is considered to be one of the major threats to the national security and Russia's interests (2).
It is interesting that, according to the results of the all-Russian public opinion poll conducted at the request of the PRI Center, 78% of Russians (evidently, emotionally and not as experts) support the continued nuclear nonproliferation endeavors.

Few throughout the most terrible esconomic difficulties, Russia has never directly or induced without the solid transferred in the solid results and the solid results are continued unclear neapport the continued nuclear nonproliferation endeavors.

Few throughout the most terrible esconomic difficulties, Russia has never directly or induced the request of the PRI Center, 78% of Russians (evidently, emotionally and not as experts) support the continued nuclear nonproliferation endeavors.

Few throughout the most terrible esconomic difficulties, Russia has has never directly or induced nuclear encountered to the non-nuclear weapon or their components to other states. Russia complete with a nuclear reason or their components to other states. Russia companies to the superpower (sometimes without knowing when to stop and with breaching the international norms) - the Russian enterpolities on the part of the only superpower (sometimes without knowing when to stop and with breaching the international norms) - the Russian enterpolities on the part of the only superpower (sometimes without knowing when to stop and with breaching the international norms) - the Russian enterpolities of the support of the only superpower (sometimes without know

late 1998, the export controls and smugging prevention issues got in the rocts of attention of trussian autonities and the state to prevent and a number of controls and smugging prevention issues got in the rocts of attention of usustant autonities and the same time, this is not the matter of state indugence to illegal supplies, but the problem of insufficient capabilities of the state to prevent such attempts at the customs level, especially within the Customs Union of the CIS.
Russian and US interests in tightening control over WMD proliferation-sensitive export objectively coincide (6). Although in the recent level as the customs level, especially within the Customs Union of the CIS.
Russian and US interests in tightening control over WMD proliferation-sensitive export objectively coincide (6). Although in the recent level as the customs level, especially within the Customs Union of the CIS.
Russian and US interests in tightening control over WMD proliferation-sensitive export objectively coincide (6). Although in the recent level as the customs level, especially within the Customs Union of the CIS.
Russian and East Asia and East Asia area (for a number of domestic policy reasons) and this pressure negatively affected USRussian and East Asia area (for a number of domestic policy reasons) and this pressure negatively affected USRussian and East Asia area (for a number of domestic policy reasons) and this pressure negatively affected USRussian and East Asia area (for a number of domestic policy reasons) and this pressure negatively affected USRussian and East Asia area (for a number of domestic policy reasons) and the pressure of the policy reasons of negative and the pressure of the pressure of the policy reasons of the policy reasons) and intermediate and long-range delivery systems.

Secondly, there is, at least, two hotheds of military-political confrontation - on the Korean peninsula, where complicated negotiations between North and South Korea are under way (commenced in June 2000 after the Pyong

Thirdly, there are, at least, two hotbeds of military-political confrontation - on the Korean peninsula, where complicated negotiations between rvorus and source are suscess to the hotbed of military-political confrontation - on the Korean peninsula, where complicated negotiations between rvorus and source are suscess to the North Korean that have been development of the North Korean nuclear and missile programs. The most WMD proliferation - sensitive issue has become development of the North Korean nuclear and missile programs. The nuclear crisis demonstrated Russia's limited role in Northesest Asia in contrast to the role of the former Soviet Union played by Moscow during and after the Korean war. In Soviet times, the USSR assisted Pyongyang in developing its peaceful nuclear energy capabilities. The Soviet Union supplied North Korea with a small enriched-uranium research reactor, which became operational in 1966 and was under IAEA safeguards. However, the USSR never facilitated North Korea in developing any components of its nuclear weapons program and for some time (in 1965 and was under IAEA safeguards. However, the USSR never facilitated North Korea in developing any components of its nuclear weapons program and for some time (in 1965 and was under IAEA safeguards. However, the USSR never facilitated North Korea in developing any components of its nuclear weapons program and for some time (in 1965 and was under IAEA safeguards. However, the USSR never facilitated North Korea in developing any components of its nuclear weapons program and for some time (in 1965 and was under IAEA safeguards. However, the USSR never facilitated North Korea in developing any components of its nuclear weapons program and for some time (in 1965 and was under IAEA safeguards. However, the USSR never facilitated North Korea in developing any components of its nuclear weapons program and for some time (in 1965 and the North Korea in 1965 and the N

field and the separation of weapons-usable plutonium. mln 1989, North Korea was suspected of recharging nuclear reactor, reprocessing discharged nuclear fuel and obtaining about 12 kg of weapons-usable plutonium. mln 1989, North Korea was suspected of recharging nuclear reactor, reprocessing discharged nuclear fuel and obtaining about 12 kg of weapons-usable plutonium. mln 1989, North Korea was suspected of recharging nuclear reprosess and the Russia regarded the North Korea nuclear program as one of the most serious regional nonproliferation challenges contradicting Soviet/Russian interests in the region. At the time, the USSR and then Russia were not able to control development of the first control of a development of the first stance in the region of a development of the first stance in the region of a damic weapons is actively contuning in the DRFA. According to this data, the development of the first stancine explosive development of the first stancine explosive class here completed at the Institute of Nuclear Research of the DPRA. Located in Younghours. For the time being, tests are plained in the interests of hing the fact of the DPRA's applications and revening interests of the DPRA. Corording to this data, the development of the first stancine explosive device as he hen completed at the Institute of Nuclear Research of the DPRA. Located in Younghours. For the time being, tests are plained in the interests of hing the fact of the DPRA's application of a damic was provided in the DPRA's application of a damic was provided and the plain of the provided the following assessment: "From a reliable source, the KGB has received information that scientific and experimental design work to fail and the provided the following assessment: "From a reliable source, the KGB has received information that scientific and experimental design work to the being the stancing and the provided the following assessment: "From a reliable source, the KGB has received information to the being tests and the provided the following a

territory of Primorsky Krai (the safest possible scenario as far as nonproliferation is concerned) has got no response.

The North Korman macker weapons program was not the only headcach for Russia cannot by the DPIX.

The Russian new for the North Korean missile program, which started from modification of the Soviet Scuds and continued by creating Nodong-1 (with foreign assistance) and Taepodong-1 (tested in August 1998 (25)), led to three new challenges facing Russian military and political loadership.

Firstly, at the Russian eastern border, there emerged as attee with an advanced program of developing WDD launchers that may reach the Russian territory, whereas the Russian eastern border. Provided the Russian media and experts, since the first stage of the missile fell in the Sea of Japan close to the Russian territory, whereas the Russian early-warning system failed to detect the Jaunch, Russian sentiments were clearly stated in the following heading of an anticonvide newspaper. "North Korea demonstrates vulnerability of our defenses" (26).

Secondly, North Korean missile export capabilities pose the threat of secondary missile proliferation (27) and may pose potential threats to Russian in other regions of the words in exportance of the Russian sentiments were clearly stated in the following heading of an anticonvide security of the Assistance of the Russian sentiments were clearly stated in the following heading of an anticonvice of the North Korean missile export capabilities pose the threat of secondary missile proliferation (27) and may pose potential threats to Russian in other regions of the words in high properties of the North Korean missile export capabilities pose the threat of secondary missile proliferation and the secondary missile proliferation and the properties of the North Korean missile exports of the North Kore

Eyongyang may pay a postive and important roe.

CONCLUSIONS

Summing up Russian policy during the North Korean missile and nuclear crises, one can make the following conclusions:

At the governmental level, Russia has never had political intentions or practice of promoting nuclear-weapon programs of the states of concern, including the DPRK. Any attempts of the lobbying groups and individuals to circumvent these restrictions have been always immediately prevented. There were only some efforts of enterprises and smugglers to make unauthorized supplies, but in nuclear area all these endeavors have failed.

On the contrary, Russia has always feared even gossip about possible in the endeavors have failed.

Russia was proved with information, Russia exclaims such magic words as "NPT" or "IAEA inspections" to protect itself from any new headache.

Russia has so sufficiently a such a s

33 Yaderny Kontrol. No. 5, 2000. Spetember - October, p. 40.
(4) Dmitry Evstafiev and Vladimir Orlov, Editors. Export Controls in Russia: Policies and Practicies (in Russian). M., PIR Center, 2000, pp. 143-156.
(5) Ibid., p. 184.
(6) Ibid., p. 26, 29.

(6) Bid., pp. 26, 29.
(7) Roland Timesheav and Vladimir Orlov. Concerted Action Needed on Nonproliferation. Moscow Times. April 19, 2000, p. 9.
(8) Katahara Elichi, Japans Plutonium Policy: Consequences for Non-Proliferation. The Non-Proliferation Review, Vol. 5, No. 1, Fall 1997, p. 57.
(9) During the 1960-1990s, Taiwaw as conducting extensive nuclear research and developed a mighty nuclear energy sector. One may also presume that Taiwan was implementing imitative nuclear weapons program, which had no chances for success, bearing in mind Taiw dependence on the USA.
(10) Georgy Kaurewa. A Technical History of Soviet-North Korean Nuclear Relations. In: The North Korean Nuclear Program, p. 18.
(12) David Roses. The Prospects for North Korea's Survival Adelphi Paper 323. L., IISS, 1998, pp. 43-44.
(13) North Korean pulvonium estimates can be found in: David Albringh et al. Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium 1996. World Iventories, Capabilities, and Policies. Oxford University Press, 1997, pp. 282-308.
(14) Mikhall Morzow. Adomnuyu bombu v tyonnom podzemelye nayti poka ne udalos' (No clandestine atomic bomb has been found yet). Komsomolskaya Pravda, July 13, 1994.

(14) Mikhail Morozov. Atommyu bombu v tyomnom podzemelye nayti poka ne udaloś (No clandestine atomic bomb has been found yet). Komsomolskaya Pravda, July 13, 1994.

(15) See: Denisov, p.26
(16) Luvesita, June 24, 1994.

(17) Luvesita, June 24, 1994.

(18) Luvesita, June 24, 1994.

(19) Veryovy vyzov pole kholodnoj vojny; rasprostraneniye oruzhiya massovogo unichtozheniya (The New Post-Cold War Clallenge: Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction). SVR Report. M., 1993, p.92-93.

(18) Royn vyzov posta postranenii yadernogo oruzhiya. Problemy prodleniya. (Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty. Problems of Extension.). SVR Report. M., 1995, p. 26.

(29) Inda, p. 19

(19) Interview with the author. November 1994.
(20) Ibida, p. 19
(21) Alexander Zhebin. A Political History of Soviet-North Korean Nuclear Cooperation. In: The North Korean Nuclear Program, p. 33.
(22) Eyegunty P. Bazhanov, Military Strategic Aspects of the North Korean Nuclear Program. In: The North Korean Nuclear Program, p. 33.
(23) About nuclear Backmail see: Reese, p. 47. See also conclusions of the article by Visidian's Belos: Thow much pulsorism did Kim Il Sung have in its pocket?\*. Segodnya, August 26, 1994.
(23) About nuclear Backmail see: Reese, p. 47. See also conclusions of the article by Visidian's Belos: Thow much pulsorism did Kim Il Sung have in its pocket?\*. Segodnya, August 26, 1994.
(23) The missile reviewed I., 646 kim across the Sea of Japan and released a small satellities which failed to a chalvee ori: It nevel to stages of the missile were liquid-fueled but the third stage was reportedly a solid-fuel booster. Although it appears that the third stage failed, the accomplishment of the first two stages was impressive enough. Western experts have speculated that the missile has a potential range of some 2,000 - 2,500 km and could lead to the development of the Taepodong-2 missile. Russian military experts are more skeptical of North Koreas Capabilities to move quickly beyond the August 1996 lest to longer-range systems. Everputy P. Bazhanov, Military Strategic Aspects of the North Korean Nuclear Program, In: The North Korean Order Fairly Warning from Asia? Survival, Winter 198-99, vol. 40, No.4, p. 64; The Proliferation Primer. A Majority Report to the Subcommittee on International Security, Proliferation, and Federal services, Committee on Governmental Affairs, US Senate, January 1998, pp. 32-35; Author's interview With Gennady Khromov, a Glavkosomos separt, November 2000.
(26) Victor Litovian, North Korea demonstrates vulnerability of our defense. Izvestiya, September 2,1998, p. 3.
(27) Gennady Pestafiev. Its native to expect easy solutions. In: Expert Controls in Russian: Policy and Pract

(3.2) lind.
(3.3) lind.
(3.4) According to Russian journalist Alexander Platkovsky, "in the coming years, full-scale efforts at exploiting the differences among the major powers active on the Korean peninsula is the main field where Pyongyang will attempt to reap its harvest". – Platkovsky, p. 100.
(3.5) libid.

Nautilus Institute 608 San Miguel Ave., Berkeley, CA 94707-1535 | Phone: (510) 423-0372 | Email: nautilus@nautilus.org