# LAYING THE FOUNDATIONS OF DPRK ENERGY SECURITY: 1990-2020 ENERGY BALANCES, ENGAGEMENT OPTIONS, AND FUTURE PATHS FOR ENERGY AND ECONOMIC REDEVELOPMENT

×

## **Recommended Citation**

David von Hippel, Peter Hayes, "LAYING THE FOUNDATIONS OF DPRK ENERGY SECURITY: 1990-2020 ENERGY BALANCES, ENGAGEMENT OPTIONS, AND FUTURE PATHS FOR ENERGY AND ECONOMIC REDEVELOPMENT", NAPSNet Special Reports, April 25, 2021, https://nautilus.org/napsnet/napsnet-special-reports/laying-the-foundatios-of-dprk-energy-security-1990-2020-energy-balances-engagement-ptions-and-future-paths-for-energy-and-economic-redevelopment/

### DAVID VON HIPPEL AND PETER HAYES

#### APRIL 26 2021

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This report "provide[s] policymakers and other interested parties with an overview of the evolution of demand for and supply of energy in the Democratic Peoples' Republic of Korea (DPRK) over the last three decades," updated to 2020. The report provides a variety of possible future pathways for the DPRK energy sector, and outlines efficient and realistic policy measures for energy cooperative engagement with the DPRK in the context of implementing a strategy to denuclearize the Korean peninsula.

David von Hippel is Nautilus Institute Senior Associate. Peter Hayes is Director of the Nautilus Institute and Honorary Professor at the <u>Centre for International Security Studies</u> at the University of Sydney.



Full report here [18.5 MB PDF].



Attachment 1 here [24.6 MB PDF]



Attachment 2 <u>here</u> [2.3MB PDF].

This report is published under a 4.0 International Creative Commons License the terms of which are found <u>here</u>.

The views expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Nautilus Institute. Readers should note that Nautilus seeks a diversity of views and opinions on significant topics in order to identify common ground.

Banner image: "Arirang" festival in Pyongyang, September 2009 highlighting a nuclear reactor nuclear reactor and satellite dish is composed of cards held up by thousands of DPRK performers. Photo David von Hippel

## II. NAPSNET SPECIAL REPORT BY DAVID VON HIPPEL AND PETER HAYES

### LAYING THE FOUNDATIONS OF DPRK ENERGY SECURITY: 1990-2020 ENERGY BALANCES, ENGAGEMENT OPTIONS, AND FUTURE PATHS FOR ENERGY AND ECONOMIC REDEVELOPMENT

### APRIL 26 2021

#### **Executive Summary**

The purpose of this report is to provide policymakers and other interested parties with an overview of the evolution of demand for and supply of energy in the Democratic Peoples' Republic of Korea (DPRK) over the last three decades. This report provides estimates of demand and supply for 14 individual years during that span, namely:

- **1990**, just before much of the DPRK's economic and technical support from the Soviet Union was withdrawn;
- 1996, possibly when the DPRK hit its lowest economic point in the 1990s;
- **2000**, a year that has been perceived by some observers as a period of modest economic "recovery" in the DPRK, and just before (October 2002) the nuclear confrontation re-erupted between the DPRK, the United States, and it neighbors in Northeast Asia over the DPRK's nuclear weapons development program;
- **2005**, when observers noted an upward trend in some aspects of the DPRK economy, as well as the most recent year for which any published estimates on the DPRK's energy sector and economy are available;
- **2008 through 2010**, starting with the last year in which the DPRK received heavy fuel oil from its negotiating partners in the Six-Party talks; and
- **2014-2019**, recent historical years during which the DPRK economy began to grow with expanded coal exports to China, imports of consumer goods, and adoption of some additional aspects of a

market economy, followed by several years during which the DPRK has been under United Nations Security Council sanctions affecting its energy trading (oil imports and coal exports) in particular, and marked by on-again, off-again interludes of engagement and conflict with the international community.

• **2020**, the year of the coronavirus-19 pandemic, which has affected the economy and energy sector of every country on the map, including the DPRK.

Building on previous energy balances prepared for 1990, 1996, 2000, and 2005, 2008 through 2010, and 2014, the authors assembled information from as many data sources as possible to update our earlier work and to provide estimates of recent-year energy supply and demand in the DPRK. Somewhat revised results of the 1990, and 1996 energy balances, which provide the underpinnings of our updated DPRK energy sector analysis, as well as a detailed description of input parameters and assumptions used in the analytical process, are presented in Chapter 2 of the Report that follows.

The estimates of year 2000 and on energy demand and supply presented here are typically somewhat lower than some estimates assembled by others, including international statistical resources and ROK estimates. The estimates described in Chapter 3 of this report include overall year 2000 through 2020 gross electricity generation varying somewhat by year within the range of 13 to 18 terawatt-hours (TWh, or billion kilowatt-hours) annually, reflecting somewhat improved electricity sector performance in some recent years. Overall coal production between 2000 and 2020 peaked in 2016 at about over 1 million terajoules (TJ), or about 35 million tonnes of coal equivalent, of which more than half was exported, almost all to China. Crude oil imports in 2020 were an estimated 750,000 tonnes, somewhat higher than in previous years, and net refined products imports were 6.6 million GJ, or about 150,000 tonnes, a considerable decline from previous years caused, we estimate, but the combination of United Nations Security Council sanctions and the impacts of COVID-19. The electricity and coal output, and oil imports, estimates for even 2019 are on the order of one third of the levels of output and imports of these fuels as of 1990, and outputs in 2020 were lower due to COVID-19 restrictions on cross-border travel and activity within the DPRK imposed by the DPRK government to try to halt (or limit) the spread of the pandemic. The use of wood and biomass has to some extent, particularly in households in rural areas, made up for the lack of commercial fuels, and in-country observers and forestry experts alike note the negative impact of increased wood harvesting for energy on the DPRK's forest resources and, in some areas, on soil fertility.

One major refinery continues to run in the DPRK as of 2020, and likely operated at a higher rate than in 2000 or through most of the 2010s, but at a lower level even than in 1996. A minor refinery also apparently operated periodically in 2000, and we assume that has continued to do so through 2020, though we have no direct recent evidence regarding this facility. Much of the electricity generation infrastructure in the DPRK continues to be in poor condition, though some rehabilitation of power plants has apparently taken place, thus our estimate is that thermal and hydroelectric power plant operable capacity (and output) are slightly higher than in 2000. Hydroelectric plants continue to be in somewhat better condition than thermal power plants, but remain at the mercy of water availability, and thus operate with relatively low capacity factors. Coal mines continue to be plagued with equipment and transport problems and, most importantly, by lack of electricity to operate mining machinery, lights, air supply, water pumps, and other crucial infrastructure, though selected coal mines have been upgraded in the 2010s to produce coal for export to China. The coal seams currently mined in many locations are becoming lower-yielding, or yield coal of lower quality, as the better deposits are mined and new seams are not opened up. In addition, many coal galleries are reportedly flooded—and may in some cases take years to pump out.

Industrial output is estimated to have declined, even by 2019 (with further declines in 2020), to 9 to 60 percent of 1990 levels, varying substantially by subsector—with export-oriented subsectors such as mining and metals showing the greatest activity relative to 1990 levels. As a consequence of this decline, the share of overall energy demand contributed by the industrial sector is now second to that of the residential sector, as shown in figure ES-1, though residential demand continues to include a substantial amount of wood and other biomass estimated to be used as "substitute" fuels in the absence of sufficient or consistent supplies of coal and electricity.



#### Figure ES-1

In Chapters 4 and 7 of this report, we review what is known about the DPRK's natural resource base, with Chapter 4 focusing on fossil fuels and renewable resources including forests, and Chapter 7 providing more detail on other minerals, including existing mining infrastucture and institutions in the DPRK, along with a summary of some of the key challenges facing the minerals sector. The resources described in these Chapters, plus the productive capacity of the North Korean people, provides the base on which future economic and energy development in the DPRK will be built, and must be considered in any plan for DPRK energy sector assistance. Chapter 4 also provides updated results of our illustrative analysis of the "energy efficiency" resource in the DPRK, in which we estimate the potential cost and resource savings from the application of several key energy efficiency options for the DPRK energy demand and supply sectors. Chapters 5 and 6 of this report present, respectively, additional detail on the electricity and refined products supply sectors, including a review of resources available for use in generating power, and existing infrastructure, and oil refining infrastructure and oil product supply and demand.

Chapter 8 presents sketches and analysis of several future pathways for the DPRK economy and energy sector, including a "Redevelopment" pathway, and describes some of the preconditions for and impacts on the energy sector of different paths—including the relative costs and benefits of different DPRK energy futures, as well as their relative impacts on the DPRK's energy security. Also described in Chapter 8 is a list of institutional changes—ranging from training to establishment of energy pricing practices to strengthening of regulatory agencies to setting out clear and consistent rules for commerce with foreign companies—that the DPRK should adopt and be assisted with in order to work toward rebuilding its energy sector.

Following on from the quantitative exploration of different DPRK energy futures in Chapter 8, in Chapter 9 we address the implications of a possible collapse of the DPRK's government Although we

continue to emphasize that in our view, even as the DPRK transitions to a new young leader, such a collapse is **not** likely, considering the possible implications of such a collapse provides insights into which policies by the international community are likely to be helpful in any kind of DPRK transition, whether abrupt or gradual and managed.

Chapter 10 provides suggestions on a number of areas for international cooperation, including providing technical and institutional assistance in implementing energy efficiency measures, promoting better understanding of the North Korean situation in the ROK, working to open opportunities for independent power companies to work in the DPRK, and cooperation on technology transfer for energy efficiency and renewable energy. Key and attractive energy sector technologies and processes for energy sector redevelopment in the DPRK are identified, including rebuilding of the electricity transmission and distribution system, rehabilitation of power plants and other coalusing infrastructure, rehabilitation of coal supply and coal transport systems, development of alternative sources of small-scale energy and implementation of energy-efficiency measures, rehabilitation of rural infrastructure, advanced investigation of regional electricity grid interconnections, and gas supply and demand infrastructure development. Referring to another recent report by the authors, Chapter 10 also includes a brief description of a potential cooperative threat reduction "plus" approach led by a non-governmental consortium of international actors and focused on building energy efficiency, renewable energy, and microgrid measures, all of which DPRK representatives have consistently shown a keen interest in. Also discussed, in response to the DPRK's announcement of its construction and operation of a uranium enrichment facility and ongoing construction of a small experimental light water nuclear reactor, are possibilities for international cooperation with the DPRK on peaceful activities in the nuclear energy arena.

This document is intended to provide a best estimate, given available data, of an internally consistent energy supply/demand balance for the DPRK for the most recent year that our analysis covers, 2020, as well as balances for previous years prepared with similar methodologies. In so doing, we have tried to assemble what is known, and assess what is not known, about the DPRK energy sector. As with previous reports, this analysis is intended to be revised as more and better data are available, and the authors welcome reader comments and input on the material presented here. For example, much of the analysis for energy supply and demand in the DPRK for the year 2020 presented here was prepared before 2020 was over, and given recent news reports (still almost all anecdotal) of energy shortages in the DPRK during 2020, we would say that our estimates of energy use, and particularly electricity use, might in fact be a bit higher than actual values in 2020, to the extent that they could or can be known.

As this report is being finalized, a new administration in Washington D.C is just entering its second month in office. How the administration of President Biden will approach the possibility of discussions with Chairman Kim Jong Un's regime on the DPRK's nuclear weapons program and other issues is not yet fully evident, although President Biden seems likely to take a much more collaborative approach, at least with regard to coordination with the Republic of Korea and Japan than did his predecessor. Ultimately, however, the DPRK, the United States, and the DPRK's neighbors, and other parties will need to return to the bargaining table, and at that point, as in past negotiations, provision of energy security will continue to be a critical element of a successful and robust resolution to the nuclear confrontation between the DPRK and the international community.

#### Foreword

As this Report is released, prospects for engagement of the international community with the DPRK on its nuclear weapons program and a variety of related issues seem indeterminate. This state of affairs has not been unusual over the past 30 years, with opportunities for diplomacy waxing and waning as leadership of the countries involved changed, as the DPRK's rhetoric toward the ROK and

the US, in particular, has oscillated from strident to conciliatory and back (often with matching rhetoric on the other side), as conditions inside the DPRK have changed, and as politicians in all of the countries involved have adjusted their approaches to engagement to, in part, address domestic political needs. Still, a new administration in Washington, an ROK administration with a generally favorable attitude to engagement, and signals from the DPRK that, while decidedly mixed, appear to offer hope for at least sitting down for discussions, suggest that there may be an opportunity for progress in addressing concerns related to the DPRK. Given the deep reservoir of distrust that has built up between the DPRK and those who would seek to engage it in discussions, any such engagement must be considered a long-term effort, one in which any given day, month, or even year may see steps back, but in which steady progress must be the goal.

Since the early 1990s, we have contended that one of the core (though not only) reasons that the DPRK has pursued development of nuclear weapons and related delivery systems has been energy insecurity—namely the lack of adequate fuel supplies and energy conversion systems—particularly electricity generation systems—to support a reasonable rate of economic development. Starting with the publication, in 1995, of our first report related to analysis of the DPRK energy sector, and its prospects for evolution in the future, we have worked to provide those who study the DPRK, and particularly those whose jobs are to figure out ways to engage the DPRK, with analysis of what is known, what can be inferred or estimated, and what isn't known about the DPRK energy sector, because we see an understanding of the DPRK's energy systems and energy needs as crucial for the international community to be able to develop meaningful energy sector engagement with the DPRK. And, as shown in many of the agreements forged (if later abandoned) with the DPRK over the years, energy sector measures are crucial to providing the DPRK with incentives to engage.



Photo of performance at "Arirang" festival in Pyongyang, September 2009. The background image of a nuclear reactor and other technologies is composed of cards held up by thousands of DPRK performers seated in the steeply-pitched area

The cover of this report is a composite of two different images. The grid of red text and lines represents an estimated "energy balance"—a common tabular representation of the supply and demand of the different forms of energy used in an economy—for the DPRK, in this case, for the year 1996. Energy balances like this one summarize where an economy has been, with regard to energy supply and demand, where it is currently, and where it might be going (balances for future years). The underlying image, showing a nuclear power plant and other infrastructure of the modern age, shows the DPRK's vision of where it saw its energy sector and broader economy going, at least as of 2009. This image (provided above) was presented by a cast of many thousands of North Koreans in a large stadium in Pyongyang at the fall "Arirang" festival in late September, witnessed in person by one of us (von Hippel). It represents a message relaying the country's leadership's aspirations to the international audience in attendance and, just as crucially, to the DPRK people. As such, it represents a marker for what the DPRK may most value out of any engagement with the international community, and should be taken into account by those in the US, ROK, and elsewhere who are working to prepare diplomatic initiatives to engage the DPRK.

| ŀ | Га | ble | of | Contents |
|---|----|-----|----|----------|
| г |    |     |    |          |

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY   | I     |
|---|-------|
| FOREWORD  | v     |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS  | VII   |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS   | VIII  |
| TABLE OF FIGURES  | XVI   |
| TABLE OF TABLES   | XXIII |
| ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS  | XXVI  |
| 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND: THE DPRK ENERGY SECTOR  | 1     |
| 1.1. PURPOSE AND GOAL OF REPORT   | 1     |
| 1.2. SUMMARY AND HISTORY OF THE CURRENT ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE DPRK<br>1.2.1. Brief history of the evolution of the DPRK economy following WWII, and | 3     |
| status as of 1990   | 4     |
| 1.2.2. Changes in the DPRK since 1990   | 8     |
| 1.2.3. Impacts of flooding and food shortages   | 12    |
| 1.2.4. Past and current international relations and potential impacts on the DPRK   |       |
| economy: negotiations, food aid, and the Agreed Framework   | 14    |
| 1.2.5. The DPRK Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic   | 17    |
| 1.3. SUMMARY OF THE OVERALL ENERGY SITUATION IN THE DPRK  | 19    |
| 1.3.1. Energy demand—sectors, fuels, and problems   | 19    |
| 1.3.2. Energy supply—resources, technologies and processes  | 21    |
| 1.3.3. Summary of electricity demand and supply   | 21    |
| <ol> <li>ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL, AND POLITICAL BACKGROUND</li> </ol>   | 22    |
| 1.4.1. Summary of environmental problems in the DPRK, including those associated  | l     |
| with energy use   | 22    |
| 1.4.2. The impact of the 1995/1996 floods and the food crisis   | 23    |
| 1.4.3. DPRK agricultural conditions and food situation since 1996   | 24    |
| 1.4.4. The DPRK social and political system, and its influence on the energy and  |       |
| electricity sector  | 25    |
| 1.4.5. The "Agreed Framework" and KEDO  | 27    |
| 1.5. GUIDE TO REMAINDER OF REPORT   | 28    |
| 2. ESTIMATED 1990 AND 1996 SUPPLY/DEMAND ENERGY BALANCES  | 31    |
| 2.1. GOALS AND APPROACH IN PREPARING 1990 AND 1996 SUPPLY/DEMAND BALANCE.   | 31    |
| 2.1.1. Study approach   | 34    |
| 2.2. SUMMARY OF METHODS AND DATA USED TO ESTIMATE 1990 AND 1996 DEMAND<br>FOR ENERGY  | 37    |
| 2.2.1. The industrial sector in 1990  | 37    |

| 2.2.2 | 2. Changes in industrial output for 1996   | 43    |
|-------|--|-------|
| 2.2.3 | 3. Transport sector  | 44    |
| 2.2.4 | <ol> <li>Transport sector activity changes for 1996</li> </ol>                           | 47    |
| 2.2.3 | 5. The residential sector in 1990  | 48    |
| 2.2.0 | <ol><li>Residential sector changes by 1996</li></ol>                                     | 49    |
| 2.2.2 | <ol><li>The agricultural and fisheries sectors in 1990</li></ol>                         | 50    |
| 2.2.8 | 8. Changes in the agricultural and fisheries sectors as of 1996                          | 51    |
| 2.2.9 | 9. Public and Commercial sectors   | 52    |
| 2.2.  | 10. Commercial/Public/Institutional sector changes by 1996                               | 52    |
| 2.2.  | 11. The military sector in 1990  | 52    |
| 2.2.1 | 12. Changes in military fuel use by 1996   | 56    |
| 2.2.1 | 13. Non-Specified/Other sectors  | 57    |
| 2.2.  | 14. Non-energy use   | 57    |
| .3.   | SUMMARY OF INFORMATION ON ENERGY SUPPLY IN THE DPRK AS OF 1990 AND                       |       |
|       | 1996   | 57    |
| 2.3.  | 1. Energy resources  | 57    |
| 2.3.2 | 2. Coal resources in 1990 and 1996   | 57    |
| 2.3.3 | 3. Petroleum   | 59    |
| 2.3.4 | 4. Wood and biomass  | б1    |
| .4.   | TRANSFORMATION PROCESSES IN 1990 AND 1996  | 62    |
| 2.4.  | <ol> <li>Electricity generation in the DPRK, 1990 and 1996</li> </ol>                    | 63    |
| 2.    | 4.1.1. Total electricity generated and losses, and district heat production, in 1990     | 63    |
| 2.    | 4.1.2. Detail of existing thermal generating facilities                                  | 64    |
| 2.    | 4.1.3. Detail of existing hydroelectric facilities                                       | 68    |
| 2.    | 4.1.4. Status of the Transmission and Distribution Network                               | 71    |
| 2.4.2 | 2. Petroleum refining  | 84    |
| 2.4.3 | 3. Coal production and preparation   | 88    |
| 2.4.4 | 4. Charcoal production   | 90    |
|       | DESCRIPTION OF KEY RESULTS AND UNCERTAINTIES IN 1990 AND 1996                            | ~ ~   |
|       | SUPPLY/DEMAND BALANCES   | 90    |
| 2.3.1 | 1. Energy Balances for 1990 and 1990   | 90    |
| 2.5.2 | <ol><li>Energy supply, including exports and imports</li></ol>                           | 97    |
| 2.5.3 | 3. Energy transformation results   | 99    |
| 2.3.4 | 4. Energy demand in 1990 and 1990  | . 100 |
| 2.5.3 | <ol><li>Key uncertainties in 1990 and 1996 energy balances: Energy demand</li></ol>      | . 117 |
| 2.5.0 | <ol><li>Summary of key data gaps and uncertainties: DPRK energy supply in 1990</li></ol> | . 119 |
| 2.5.7 | <ol><li>Summary of key data gaps: DPRK energy transformation in 1990</li></ol>           | . 119 |
| 2.5.8 | 8. Key uncertainties in 1996 energy data   | . 121 |
| Ε     | STIMATED 2000, 2005, 2008 THROUGH 2010, 2014 THROUGH 2020                                |       |
| S     | UPPLY/DEMAND ENERGY BALANCES   | . 124 |
| 1     | OVER ALL APPROACH  | 124   |
| 2     | SUMMARY OF KEY CHANGES IN THE DPRK ENERGY SECTOR RETWEEN 1006 AND                        | . 124 |
| .2.   | 2000   | 125   |
| 3     | SUMMARY OF KEY CHANGES IN THE DDRK ENERGY SECTOR RETWEEN 2000 AND                        | . 125 |
| · · · | 2005   | 130   |
|       | LVVJ   | . 100 |

| 3.4.  | SUMMARY OF KEY CHANGES IN THE DPRK ENERGY SECTOR FROM 2005  |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | THROUGH 2009  | . 131  |
| 3.5.  | SUMMARY OF KEY CHANGES IN THE DPRK ENERGY SECTOR FROM 2010  |  |
|   | THROUGH 2019  | . 133  |
| 3.6.  | THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON THE DPRK ENERGY SECTOR IN 2020  | . 135  |
| 3.6   | 1. Assumed DPRK Responses to Coronavirus Pandemic   | . 136  |
| 3.6   | 2. A Scenario for Drivers of 2020 Energy Supply and Demand in the DPRK  | . 137  |
| 3.7.  | KEY INPUT PARAMETERS, SOURCES, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS USED IN  |  |
|   | ESTIMATING ENERGY SUPPLY-DEMAND BALANCES FOR 2000, 2005, 2008   |  |
|   | THROUGH 2010, AND 2014 THROUGH 2020   | . 140  |
| 3.7   | .1. Industrial sector activity  | . 140  |
| 3.7   | 2. Transport sector activity  | . 143  |
| 3.7   | .3. Parameters of residential energy use in 2000-2009   | . 140  |
| 3.7   | .4. Estimates of energy use parameters for the Agricultural and Fisheries sectors   | . 149  |
| 3.7   | .5. Public/Commercial sector parameters   | . 155  |
| 3.7   | .o. Military energy use parameters in 2000 through 2020   | . 155  |
| 3.7   | 7. Non-specified and non-energy commodities demand  | . 159  |
| 3.7   | .8. Energy resources, imports, and exports  | . 100  |
| 3.7   | .9. Data and assumptions regarding energy transformation processes in 2000,   |  |
| 2.0   | 2005, 2008, and 2009  | . 103  |
| 3.8.  | PRESENTATION OF ESTIMATED YEAR 2000, 2005, 2008 THROUGH 2010, AND 2014  | 1.0  |
|   | THROUGH 2020 DPRK ENERGY BALANCES, AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS  | . 168  |
| . 1   | THE DPRK'S ENERGY RESOURCES FOR FUELING   |  |
| ]   | REDEVELOPMENT   | . 189  |
| 4.1.  | INTRODUCTION  | . 189  |
| 4.2.  | FOSSIL FUELS  | . 189  |
| 4.2   | .1. Coal  | . 189  |
| 4.2   | .2. Oil and Gas   | . 192  |
| 4.3.  | WOOD AND OTHER BIOMASS  | . 197  |
| 4.3   | .1. Forest area and forest types  | . 198  |
| 4.3   |   |  |
|   | .2. Wood stocks   | . 202  |
| 4.3   | <ol> <li>Wood stocks</li> <li>The DPRK wood resource over time</li> </ol>   | . 202<br>. 202   |
| 4.3   | <ol> <li>Wood stocks</li> <li>The DPRK wood resource over time</li> <li>Other biomass</li> </ol>  | . 202<br>. 202<br>. 208  |
| 4.3<br>4.3<br>4.4.  | <ol> <li>Wood stocks</li> <li>The DPRK wood resource over time</li> <li>Other biomass</li> <li>OTHER RENEWABLE RESOURCES</li> </ol>   | . 202<br>. 202<br>. 208<br>. 209   |
| 4.3<br>4.3<br>4.4.<br>4.5.  | <ol> <li>Wood stocks</li> <li>The DPRK wood resource over time</li> <li>Other biomass</li> <li>OTHER RENEWABLE RESOURCES</li> <li>ENERGY EFFICIENCY</li> </ol>  | . 202<br>. 202<br>. 208<br>. 209<br>. 213  |
| 4.3<br>4.3<br>4.4.<br>4.5.<br>4.5   | <ul> <li>2. Wood stocks</li> <li>3. The DPRK wood resource over time</li> <li>4. Other biomass</li> <li>OTHER RENEWABLE RESOURCES</li> <li>ENERGY EFFICIENCY</li> <li>1. Analytical Approach</li> </ul>   | . 202<br>. 202<br>. 208<br>. 209<br>. 213<br>. 214   |
| 4.3<br>4.3<br>4.4.<br>4.5.<br>4.5<br>4.5  | <ol> <li>Wood stocks</li> <li>The DPRK wood resource over time</li> <li>Other biomass</li> <li>Other Renewable Resources</li> <li>ENERGY EFFICIENCY</li> <li>Analytical Approach</li> <li>Overall Results for Energy Efficiency Measures Evaluated.</li> </ol>  | . 202<br>. 202<br>. 208<br>. 209<br>. 213<br>. 214<br>. 215  |
| 4.3<br>4.3<br>4.4.<br>4.5.<br>4.5<br>4.5  | <ol> <li>Wood stocks</li> <li>The DPRK wood resource over time</li> <li>Other biomass</li> <li>OTHER RENEWABLE RESOURCES</li> <li>ENERGY EFFICIENCY</li> <li>Analytical Approach</li> <li>Overall Results for Energy Efficiency Measures Evaluated.</li> </ol> DPRK ELECTRICITY SUPPLY, DEMAND, AND OPTIONS   | . 202<br>. 202<br>. 208<br>. 209<br>. 213<br>. 214<br>. 215<br>. 232   |
| 4.3<br>4.3<br>4.4.<br>4.5.<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>4.5   | <ol> <li>Wood stocks</li> <li>The DPRK wood resource over time</li> <li>Other biomass</li> <li>OTHER RENEWABLE RESOURCES</li> <li>ENERGY EFFICIENCY</li> <li>Analytical Approach</li> <li>Overall Results for Energy Efficiency Measures Evaluated.</li> <li>OPRK ELECTRICITY SUPPLY, DEMAND, AND OPTIONS</li> </ol>  | . 202<br>. 202<br>. 208<br>. 209<br>. 213<br>. 214<br>. 215<br>. 232   |
| 4.3<br>4.3<br>4.4.<br>4.5.<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>5.1.                                 | <ol> <li>Wood stocks</li> <li>The DPRK wood resource over time</li> <li>Other biomass</li> <li>Other biomass</li> <li>OTHER RENEWABLE RESOURCES</li> <li>ENERGY EFFICIENCY</li> <li>Analytical Approach</li> <li>Overall Results for Energy Efficiency Measures Evaluated</li> <li>Overall Results for Energy Efficiency Measures Evaluated</li> <li>DPRK ELECTRICITY SUPPLY, DEMAND, AND OPTIONS</li> <li>INTRODUCTION</li> <li>Overview of North Korean Electricity Policies</li> </ol>   | . 202<br>. 202<br>. 208<br>. 209<br>. 213<br>. 214<br>. 215<br>. 232<br>. 232<br>. 232                                     |
| 4.3<br>4.4<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>5.1<br>5.1                                    | <ol> <li>Wood stocks</li> <li>The DPRK wood resource over time</li> <li>Other biomass</li> <li>Other biomass</li> <li>OTHER RENEWABLE RESOURCES</li> <li>ENERGY EFFICIENCY</li> <li>Analytical Approach</li> <li>Overall Results for Energy Efficiency Measures Evaluated.</li> <li>OVER SUPPLY, DEMAND, AND OPTIONS</li> <li>INTRODUCTION</li> <li>Overview of North Korean Electricity Policies</li> <li>Overview of Power Supply Infrastructure in the DPRK</li> </ol>   | . 202<br>. 202<br>. 208<br>. 209<br>. 213<br>. 214<br>. 215<br>. 232<br>. 232<br>. 233<br>. 238                            |
| 4.3<br>4.3<br>4.4.<br>4.5.<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>5.1.<br>5.1<br>5.1                          | <ol> <li>Wood stocks</li> <li>The DPRK wood resource over time</li> <li>Other biomass</li> <li>OTHER RENEWABLE RESOURCES</li> <li>ENERGY EFFICIENCY</li> <li>Analytical Approach</li> <li>Overall Results for Energy Efficiency Measures Evaluated</li> <li>OVerall Results for Energy Efficiency Measures Evaluated</li> <li>DPRK ELECTRICITY SUPPLY, DEMAND, AND OPTIONS</li> <li>INTRODUCTION</li> <li>Overview of North Korean Electricity Policies</li> <li>Overview of Power Supply Infrastructure in the DPRK</li> <li>Electricity Industry Challenges in North Korean</li> </ol>  | . 202<br>. 202<br>. 208<br>. 209<br>. 213<br>. 214<br>. 215<br>. 232<br>. 232<br>. 233<br>. 238<br>. 241                   |
| 4.3<br>4.4.<br>4.5.<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>5.1.<br>5.1<br>5.1<br>5.1                   | <ol> <li>Wood stocks</li> <li>The DPRK wood resource over time</li> <li>Other biomass</li> <li>OTHER RENEWABLE RESOURCES</li> <li>ENERGY EFFICIENCY</li> <li>Analytical Approach</li> <li>Overall Results for Energy Efficiency Measures Evaluated</li> <li>OVerall Results for Energy Efficiency Measures Evaluated</li> <li>DPRK ELECTRICITY SUPPLY, DEMAND, AND OPTIONS</li> <li>INTRODUCTION</li> <li>Overview of North Korean Electricity Policies</li> <li>Overview of Power Supply Infrastructure in the DPRK</li> <li>Electricity Industry Challenges in North Korea</li> <li>Guide to the Remainder of this Chapter</li> </ol> | . 202<br>. 202<br>. 208<br>. 209<br>. 213<br>. 214<br>. 215<br>. 232<br>. 232<br>. 233<br>. 238<br>. 241<br>. 242          |
| 4.3<br>4.4<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>4.5<br>5.1<br>5.1<br>5.1<br>5.1<br>5.1<br>5.1 | <ol> <li>Wood stocks</li></ol>  | . 202<br>. 202<br>. 208<br>. 209<br>. 213<br>. 214<br>. 215<br>. 232<br>. 232<br>. 233<br>. 238<br>. 241<br>. 242<br>. 243 |

| 5.2.1. Introduction   | 243       |
|---|-----------|
| 5.2.2. Resources for Electricity Generation                                     |           |
| 5.2.2.1. Coal Resources   |           |
| 5.2.2.2. Oil and Gas Resources  |           |
| 5.2.2.3. Biomass Resources  |           |
| 5.2.2.4. Hydraulic Resources  |           |
| 5.2.2.5. Geothermal Resources   |           |
| 5.2.2.6. Wind Energy Resources  |           |
| 5.2.2.7. Solar Energy Resources   |           |
| 5.2.2.8. Tidal Power Resources  |           |
| 5.2.2.9. Uranium Resources  | 250       |
| 5.2.3. Electricity Generation Infrastructure in the DPRK                        | 252       |
| 5.2.3.1. Existing Thermal Generating Facilities                                 | 253       |
| 5.2.3.2. Thermal Power Generation in 2000 and Beyond                            |           |
| 5.2.3.3. Hydroelectric Facilities   |           |
| 5.2.4. Wind Power Facilities  | 273       |
| 5.2.5. Solar Power Facilities   | 277       |
| 5.2.6. Nuclear Power  |           |
| 5.2.7. Total Power Generation, Imports, and Exports                             |           |
| 5.2.7.1. Electricity Transmission and Distribution                              |           |
| 5.2.7.2. Technical Parameters of the T&D System, and Technical Challenges to In | tegration |
| with Systems in Other Countries   |           |
| 5.2.7.3. The T&D System in 2000 and Beyond                                      |           |
| 5.3. ELECTRICITY DEMAND IN THE DPRK   | 291       |
| 5.3.1. Electricity Balances   |           |
| 5.3.2. Residential and Commercial/Institutional Sectors                         |           |
| 5.3.2.1. Residential Sector Data and Assumptions                                |           |
| 5.3.2.2. Residential Sector Results   |           |
| 5.3.2.3. Commercial/Institutional Sector Data, Assumptions, and Results         |           |
| 5.3.3. Industrial Sector.   |           |
| 5.3.3.1. Industrial Sector Assumptions and Inputs                               |           |
| 5.3.4. Industrial Sector Results  |           |
| 5.3.5. Transport Sector   |           |
| 5.3.5.1. Transport Sector Assumptions and Inputs                                |           |
| 5.3.5.2. Transport Sector Results   |           |
| 5.3.6. Agriculture and Fisheries Sectors  | 320       |
| 5.3.6.1. Agriculture Sector Assumptions and Inputs                              |           |
| 5.3.6.2. Fisheries Sector Assumptions and Inputs                                |           |
| 5.3.6.3. Agriculture and Fisheries Sector Results                               |           |
| 5.3.7. Military Sector  |           |
| 5.3.7.1. Military Sector Assumptions and Inputs                                 |           |
| 5.3.7.2. Military Sector Results  |           |
| 5.3.8. Overall Electricity Demand Results and Commentary                        |           |
| 5.4. ELECTRICITY SUPPLY AND DEMAND CONCLUSIONS                                  | 342       |
| 5.4.1 Summary of Results-DPRK Electricity Supply and Demand                     | 342       |
| 5.4.2 Implications of Results for ROK and International Community Engage        | mont of   |
| the DPRK on Floctricity Sector Issues   | 2/2       |
| 5.4.2.1 Implications of Findings Regarding the DDRK Electricity Supply System   | 3/12      |
| 5.4.2.2. Implications of Electricity Demand Eindings                            | 2/15      |
| 5. 1.2.2. Implications of Liocatory Londard I monigs                            |           |

|   | 5.4.2.3. Potential Implications of Surge in Solar PV System Sales in the DPRK   | 347   |
|---|---|---|
| 5   | .4.3. Possible "Next Steps"   | . 349   |
|   | 5.4.3.1. Potential Research Projects  | 349   |
|   | 5.4.3.2. Potential Engagement Projects-Training   | 350   |
|   | 5.4.3.3. Potential Engagement Projects—Current Document<br>Electricity SectorCurrent Document<br>Ctrl+Click to follow link  | 351   |
|   | 5.4.3.4. Help to Build/Reinforce Centers of Expertise   | 352   |
|   | 5.4.3.5. Pilot and Demonstration Projects   | 353   |
| 6.  | ESTIMATES OF REFINED PRODUCT SUPPLY AND DEMAND IN THE   |   |
|   | DPRK, 2010 – 2020   | . 356   |
| 6.1   | OVERVIEW  | . 356   |
| 6.2   | ANALYTICAL METHODS  | . 358   |
| 6.3   | REFINED PRODUCTS BALANCES, 2010 AND 2014-2020   | . 359   |
| 6.4   | REFINED PRODUCTS USE BY SECTOR AND FUEL   | . 369   |
| 6.5   | DPRK DOMESTIC OIL PRODUCTS PRODUCTION   | . 375   |
| 6.6   | IMPORTS OF REFINED PRODUCTS   | 386   |
| 6.7   | CONSIDERATIONS IN ANALYSIS OF RECENT DPRK OIL PRODUCT SUPPLY AND  |   |
|   | Demand  | . 391   |
| 6.8   | POTENTIAL ENERGY IMPACT OF HUMANITARIAN ENGAGEMENT STRATEGIES   |   |
|   | RELATIVE TO DPRK OIL IMPORTS  | . 392   |
| 6.9   | CONCLUSIONS   | . 394   |
| 7.  | THE DPRK'S MINERAL RESOURCE BASE  | . 395   |
| 71  | INTE ODI ICTION   |   |
|   | INTRODUCTION  | 395   |
| 7.2   | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED   | . 395   |
| 7.2   | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED<br>INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS  | 403   |
| 7.2   | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED<br>INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.   | . 395   |
| 7.2   | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED<br>INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.   | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403   |
| 7.2   | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED<br>INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.<br>2.1. Iron.<br>2.2. Other base metals   | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 410   |
| 7.2   | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED<br>INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.<br>2.1. Iron.<br>2.2. Other base metals<br>2.3. Precious and specialty metals.  | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415   |
| 7.2   | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.  2.1. Iron.  2.2. Other base metals  2.3. Precious and specialty metals.  2.4. Uranium  2.5 New metallic minemals  | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419  |
| 7.2   | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED<br>INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.<br>2.1. Iron.<br>2.2. Other base metals<br>2.3. Precious and specialty metals.<br>2.4. Uranium<br>2.5. Non-metallic minerals.   | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 422   |
| 7.2<br>7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7.3  | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED<br>INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.<br>2.1. Iron.<br>2.2. Other base metals<br>2.3. Precious and specialty metals.<br>2.4. Uranium<br>2.5. Non-metallic minerals.<br>MINING INFRASTRUCTURE  | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 422<br>. 425  |
| 7.2<br>7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7.3<br>7.3  | <ul> <li>MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED<br/>INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.</li> <li>2.1. Iron.</li> <li>2.2. Other base metals</li> <li>2.3. Precious and specialty metals.</li> <li>2.4. Uranium</li> <li>2.5. Non-metallic minerals.</li> <li>MINING INFRASTRUCTURE</li> <li>3.1. Transportation Facilities</li> </ul>   | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 422<br>. 425<br>. 425   |
| 7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7.3<br>7.3  | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED         INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.         2.1. Iron.         2.2. Other base metals         2.3. Precious and specialty metals.         2.4. Uranium         2.5. Non-metallic minerals.         MINING INFRASTRUCTURE         3.1. Transportation Facilities         7.3.1.1. Railway systems         7.3.1.2. Road Transport Systems   | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 422<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425  |
| 7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7.3<br>7.3  | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED         INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.         2.1. Iron.         2.2. Other base metals         2.3. Precious and specialty metals.         2.4. Uranium         2.5. Non-metallic minerals.         MINING INFRASTRUCTURE         3.1. Transportation Facilities         7.3.1.1. Railway systems         7.3.1.2. Road Transport Systems         7.3.1.3. Poetr  | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>425<br>425   |
| 7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7.3<br>7   | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED         INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.         2.1. Iron.         2.2. Other base metals         2.3. Precious and specialty metals.         2.4. Uranium         2.5. Non-metallic minerals.         MINING INFRASTRUCTURE         3.1. Transportation Facilities         7.3.1.1. Railway systems         7.3.1.2. Road Transport Systems         7.3.1.3. Ports         3.2. Prover Facilities   | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 422<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425  |
| 7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7.3<br>7   | MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED         INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.         2.1. Iron.         2.2. Other base metals         2.3. Precious and specialty metals.         2.4. Uranium         2.5. Non-metallic minerals.         MINING INFRASTRUCTURE         3.1. Transportation Facilities         7.3.1.1. Railway systems         7.3.1.2. Road Transport Systems         7.3.1.3. Ports         3.2. Power Facilities         7.3.2.1 Major Thermal Power Plants   | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 422<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>425<br>425<br>426<br>420<br>. 430   |
| 7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7.3<br>7   | INTRODUCTION         MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED         INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS         2.1. Iron         2.2. Other base metals         2.3. Precious and specialty metals         2.4. Uranium         2.5. Non-metallic minerals         MINING INFRASTRUCTURE         3.1. Transportation Facilities         7.3.1.1. Railway systems         7.3.1.2. Road Transport Systems         7.3.1.3. Ports         3.2. Power Facilities         7.3.2.1 Major Thermal Power Plants         7.3.2.2 Private Power Sumplies and Microgrids  | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>425<br>425<br>425<br>425<br>425<br>426<br>. 430<br>430<br>430   |
| 7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7.3<br>7   | INTRODUCTION         MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED         INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.         2.1. Iron.         2.2. Other base metals         2.3. Precious and specialty metals.         2.4. Uranium         2.5. Non-metallic minerals.         MINING INFRASTRUCTURE         3.1. Transportation Facilities         7.3.1.1. Railway systems         7.3.1.2. Road Transport Systems.         7.3.1.3. Ports.         3.2. Power Facilities         7.3.2.1. Major Thermal Power Plants         7.3.2.2. Private Power Supplies and Microgrids         3.3. Metals/Minerals Refining Facilities   | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 422<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 426<br>. 430<br>430<br>431<br>. 433  |
| 7.2<br>7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7.3<br>7   | INTRODUCTION         MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED         INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS         2.1. Iron         2.2. Other base metals         2.3. Precious and specialty metals         2.4. Uranium         2.5. Non-metallic minerals         MINING INFRASTRUCTURE         3.1. Transportation Facilities         7.3.1.1. Railway systems         7.3.1.2. Road Transport Systems         7.3.1.3. Ports         3.2. Power Facilities         7.3.2.1. Major Thermal Power Plants         7.3.2.2. Private Power Supplies and Microgrids         3.3. Metals/Minerals Refining Facilities         3.4. Mining Machingery Manufacturents   | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 422<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 426<br>. 430<br>431<br>. 433<br>. 433  |
| 7.2<br>7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7.3<br>7<br>7<br>7                                    | INTRODUCTION         MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED         INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS         2.1. Iron         2.2. Other base metals         2.3. Precious and specialty metals         2.4. Uranium         2.5. Non-metallic minerals         MINING INFRASTRUCTURE         3.1. Transportation Facilities         7.3.1.1. Railway systems         7.3.1.2. Road Transport Systems         7.3.1.3. Ports         3.2. Power Facilities         7.3.2.1. Major Thermal Power Plants         7.3.2.2. Private Power Supplies and Microgrids         3.3. Metals/Minerals Refining Facilities         3.4. Mining Machinery Manufacturers         POLICIES OPGANIZATIONS AND HUMAN RESOLUTIONS IN DURING UND IN THE DBPK  | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 422<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 426<br>. 430<br>431<br>. 433<br>. 436   |
| 7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7                    | <ul> <li>INTRODUCTION</li> <li>MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED</li> <li>INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.</li> <li>2.1 Iron.</li> <li>2.2 Other base metals</li> <li>2.3 Precious and specialty metals.</li> <li>2.4 Uranium</li> <li>2.5 Non-metallic minerals.</li> <li>MINING INFRASTRUCTURE</li> <li>3.1 Transportation Facilities</li> <li>7.3.1.1 Railway systems</li> <li>7.3.1.2 Road Transport Systems</li> <li>7.3.1.3 Ports.</li> <li>3.2 Power Facilities</li> <li>7.3.2.1 Major Thermal Power Plants.</li> <li>7.3.2.2 Private Power Supplies and Microgrids</li> <li>3.3 Metals/Minerals Refining Facilities</li> <li>3.4 Mining Machinery Manufacturers.</li> <li>POLICIES, ORGANIZATIONS AND HUMAN RESOURCES INVOLVED IN THE DPRK MINERALS SECTOR</li> </ul>   | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 422<br>. 425<br>. 426<br>. 430<br>. 430<br>. 430<br>. 430<br>. 438 |
| 7.2<br>7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7.3<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7      | <ul> <li>MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED<br/>INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.</li> <li>2.1 Iron.</li> <li>2.2 Other base metals</li> <li>2.3 Precious and specialty metals.</li> <li>2.4 Uranium</li> <li>2.5 Non-metallic minerals.</li> <li>MINING INFRASTRUCTURE</li> <li>3.1 Transportation Facilities</li> <li>7.3.1.1 Railway systems</li> <li>7.3.1.2 Road Transport Systems.</li> <li>7.3.1.3 Ports</li> <li>3.2 Power Facilities</li> <li>7.3.2.1 Major Thermal Power Plants.</li> <li>7.3.2.2 Private Power Supplies and Microgrids</li> <li>3.3 Metals/Minerals Refining Facilities</li> <li>3.4 Mining Machinery Manufacturers.</li> <li>POLICIES, ORGANIZATIONS AND HUMAN RESOURCES INVOLVED IN THE DPRK MINERALS SECTOR</li> <li>4.1 North Korean Mining Industry Policy and Related Organizations</li> </ul>   | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 422<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 425<br>. 426<br>. 430<br>431<br>. 433<br>. 436<br>. 438<br>. 430   |
| 7.2<br>7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7        | <ul> <li>MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED<br/>INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.</li> <li>2.1. Iron.</li> <li>2.2. Other base metals</li> <li>2.3. Precious and specialty metals.</li> <li>2.4. Uranium</li> <li>2.5. Non-metallic minerals.</li> <li>MINING INFRASTRUCTURE</li> <li>3.1. Transportation Facilities</li> <li>7.3.1.1. Railway systems</li> <li>7.3.1.2. Road Transport Systems.</li> <li>7.3.1.3. Ports</li> <li>3.2. Power Facilities</li> <li>7.3.2.1. Major Thermal Power Plants.</li> <li>7.3.2.2. Private Power Supplies and Microgrids</li> <li>3.3. Metals/Minerals Refining Facilities</li> <li>3.4. Mining Machinery Manufacturers.</li> <li>POLICIES, ORGANIZATIONS AND HUMAN RESOURCES INVOLVED IN THE DPRK MINERALS SECTOR.</li> <li>4.1. North Korean Mining Industry Policy and Related Organizations.</li> <li>4.2. System for Authorization of Mineral Resource Exploitation</li> </ul>  | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 425<br>. 430<br>. 431<br>. 433<br>. 436<br>. 438<br>. 439<br>. 441  |
| 7.2<br>7.2<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7.3<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7 | <ul> <li>MINING AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE, OUTPUT TRENDS, AND RELATED<br/>INFORMATION FOR KEY TYPES OF MINERALS.</li> <li>2.1. Iron.</li> <li>2.2. Other base metals</li> <li>2.3. Precious and specialty metals.</li> <li>2.4. Uranium</li> <li>2.5. Non-metallic minerals.</li> <li>MINING INFRASTRUCTURE</li> <li>3.1. Transportation Facilities</li> <li>7.3.1.1. Railway systems</li> <li>7.3.1.2. Road Transport Systems</li> <li>7.3.2.1. Major Thermal Power Plants.</li> <li>7.3.2.2. Private Power Supplies and Microgrids</li> <li>3.3. Metals/Minerals Refining Facilities</li> <li>3.4. Mining Machinery Manufacturers</li> <li>POLICIES, ORGANIZATIONS AND HUMAN RESOURCES INVOLVED IN THE DPRK MINERALS SECTOR</li> <li>4.1. North Korean Mining Industry Policy and Related Organizations</li> <li>4.2. Sysetm for Authorization of Mineral Resource Exploitation</li> <li>4.3. The DPRK's Geological Technology Development.</li> </ul> | . 395<br>. 403<br>. 403<br>. 410<br>. 415<br>. 419<br>. 422<br>. 425<br>. 426<br>. 430<br>. 430<br>. 431<br>. 436<br>. 438<br>. 439<br>. 441<br>. 441                                     |

|    | 7.4.4.    | An Analysis of the Educational System for Mining-related Occupations           | 441  |
|----|-----------|--|------|
|    | 7.5. C    | ONCLUSIONS AND STRATEGIES FOR OVERSEAS INVESTORS.                              | 444  |
|    | 7.5.1.    | The Most Fruitful Areas for Foreign Investment in the DPRK Minerals Sectors    | 444  |
|    | 7.5.2.    | Obstacles to Effective Minerals Sector Development through Foreign             |      |
|    |           | Investment, and Solutions to Overcome Obstacles                                | 445  |
|    | 7.5.3.    | Infrastructure Investments for Stable Operation of Mining Industries           | 446  |
|    | 7.5.4.    | Feasible Strategies for Overseas Investors                                     | 446  |
|    | 7.5.5.    | Alternative Strategies and Issues for Overseas Investors                       | 447  |
|    | 7.5.6.    | Establishing Sustainable Mining Practices                                      | 449  |
|    | 7.5.7.    | Policy Proposals to Maximize Inter-Korean or Foreign Investment in the         |      |
|    |           | Development of DPRK Mineral Mines and Coal Mines                               | 450  |
|    | 7.5.8.    | Economic Effects of Inter-Korean Mineral Resources Cooperation                 | 452  |
| o  | PO        | TENTIAL EUTIDE "DATUWAVS" FOD THE DDDK ENEDOV                                  |      |
| о. | FU<br>SE( | TOD AND INSTITUTIONAL CHANCES AND SUDDODT NEEDED                               |      |
|    | TO        | FOR SUSTAINABLE DEDEVELOPMENT  | 454  |
|    | 10        | FOR SUSTAINABLE REDEVELOPMENT  | 434  |
|    | 8.1. D    | NTRODUCTION  | 454  |
|    | 8.2. T    | HE DPRK UNDER A MEDIUM-TERM "REDEVELOPMENT" PATHWAY AND UNDER                  |      |
|    | V         | ARIANTS OF SAME  | 456  |
|    | 8.2.1.    | Variants on the Redevelopment Path   | 458  |
|    | 8.2.2.    | Summary Results, Redevelopment Pathway   | 458  |
|    | 8.2.3.    | Sustainable Development Path Results   | 464  |
|    | 8.2.4.    | Regional Alternative Path Results  | 468  |
|    | 8.3. II   | MPLICATIONS OF A "RECENT TRENDS" PATH FOR THE DPRK ENERGY SECTOR               | 471  |
|    | 8.4. C    | OMPARISONS BETWEEN DPRK ENERGY FUTURES PATHS                                   | 473  |
|    | 8.5. E    | NERGY SECURITY COMPARISON OF FUTURE DPRK PATHS                                 | 478  |
|    | 8.5.1.    | Energy Supply Dimension  | 479  |
|    | 8.5.2.    | Economic Dimension   | 481  |
|    | 8.5.3.    | Technological Dimension  | 483  |
|    | 8.5.4.    | Environmental Dimension  | 484  |
|    | 8.5.5.    | Social and Cultural Dimension  | 485  |
|    | 8.5.6.    | International Military/Security Dimension                                      | 485  |
|    | 8.6. II   | NTERNAL POLICY AND LEGAL REFORMS TO STIMULATE AND SUSTAIN ENERGY               |      |
|    | S         | ECTOR REBUILDING IN THE DPRK   | 486  |
|    | 8.6.1.    | Reform of energy pricing practices and the physical infrastructure to          |      |
|    |           | implement them   | 486  |
|    | 8.6.2.    | Training for energy sector actors  | 487  |
|    | 8.6.3.    | Strengthening regulatory agencies and educational/research institutions in the |      |
|    |           | DPRK   | 488  |
|    | 8.6.4.    | Involving the private sector in investments and technology transfer            | 489  |
| 9. | DP        | RK "COLLAPSE" PATHWAYS: IMPLICATIONS FOR THE ENERGY                            |      |
|    | SEC       | CTOR AND FOR STRATEGIES OF REDEVELOPMENT/SUPPORT                               | 491  |
|    | 0.1 5     |  | 401  |
|    | 9.1. II   | NIKODUCIION  | 491  |
|    | 9.2. B    | NAUNGROUND: THE DERK ENERGY SECTOR SINCE 1990, AND INAUTILUS                   | 40.4 |
|    | ρ         | INAL I HUAL APPROACHES   | 494  |

| 9.3. POTENTIAL "COLLAPSE" PATHWAYS  | 496  |
|---|------|
| 9.3.1. Analytical Approach and Listing of Pathways Considered                       | 496  |
| 9.3.2. The "War" Path   | 497  |
| 9.3.3. "Regime Implosion Leading to New Authoritarian Regime" Path                  | 499  |
| 9.3.4. "Regime Change by Palace Coup Leading to ROK-installed Regime" Path          | 500  |
| 9.3.5. "Slow Collapse Leading to Eventual Reunification" Path                       | 500  |
| 9.4. IMPLICATIONS OF COLLAPSE PATHWAYS FOR THE DPRK ENERGY SECTOR AND               |      |
| FOR PROVISION OF ENERGY SERVICES IN THE DPRK  | 501  |
| 9.4.1. "War" Path   | 501  |
| 9.4.2. "Regime Implosion Leading to New Authoritarian Regime" Path                  | 504  |
| 9.4.3. "Regime Change by Palace Coup Leading to ROK-installed Regime" Path          | 504  |
| 9.4.4. "Slow Collapse Leading to Eventual Reunification" Path                       | 506  |
| 9.5. LESSONS FROM COLLAPSE PATHWAYS FOR NEAR-TERM INITIATIVES AND                   | 1000 |
| PLANNING EFFORTS  | 507  |
| 10. REDEVELOPMENT OF THE DPRK ENERGY SECTOR: ASSISTANCE                             |      |
| APPROACHES AND PROJECT OPTIONS  | 509  |
| 10.1 INTRODUCTION   | 500  |
| 10.2 POTENTIAL FOR INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION TO ASSIST IN THE                       |      |
| REDEVELOPMENT OF THE DPRK ENERGY SECTOR   | 509  |
| 10.2.1. Provide technical and institutional assistance in implementing energy       |      |
| efficiency measures   | 511  |
| 10.2.2. Promote better understanding of the North Korean situation in the ROK       | 514  |
| 10.2.3. Work to open opportunities for Independent Power Producer companies to      |      |
| work in the DPRK  | 514  |
| 10.2.4. Cooperation on technology transfer for energy efficiency, renewable energy  | 514  |
| 10.3. Key/Attractive energy sector technologies and processes for energy            |      |
| SECTOR REDEVELOPMENT IN THE DPRK  | 515  |
| 10.3.1. Rebuilding of the transmission and distribution system                      | 515  |
| ILLUSTRATIVE PROJECT FOR DPRK DENUCLEARIZATION ENGAGEMENT: "MICROGRIDS              |      |
| FOR MINES"  | 516  |
| 10.3.2. Rehabilitation of power plants and other coal-using infrastructure          | 519  |
| 10.3.3. Rehabilitation of coal supply and coal transport systems                    | 520  |
| 10.3.4. Development of alternative sources of small-scale energy and implementation |      |
| of energy-efficiency measures   | 520  |
| 10.3.5. Rehabilitation of rural infrastructure                                      | 525  |
| 10.3.6. Electricity grid interconnections   | 526  |
| 10.3.7. Gas supply/demand infrastructure  | 526  |
| 10.3.8. Engagement on Nuclear Energy with the DPRK                                  | 527  |
| 10.4. COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION THROUGH ENGAGEMENT ON ENERGY ISSUES:             | 600  |
| AN EXAMPLE  | 529  |
| 10.5. CONCLUSION  | 530  |

#### III. NAUTILUS INVITES YOUR RESPONSE

The Nautilus Asia Peace and Security Network invites your responses to this report. Please send responses to: nautilus@nautilus.org. Responses will be considered for redistribution to the network only if they include the author's name, affiliation, and explicit consent

View this online at: https://nautilus.org/napsnet/napsnet-special-reports/laying-the-foundatios-of-dprk-energy-security-1990-2020-energy-balances-engagement-options-and-fuure-paths-for-energy-and-economic-redevelopment/

Nautilus Institute 608 San Miguel Ave., Berkeley, CA 94707-1535 | Phone: (510) 423-0372 | Email: nautilus@nautilus.org