



Wartime Interoperability Problems Posed by Differences in South Korean and United States Army Tactics

CHAPTER	Page
THREE. TRADITIONAL AND SOCIAL FORCES' IMPACT ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF KOREAN TACTICAL DOCTRINE	28
SOCIAL INFLUENCES	28
PHYSICAL INFLUENCES	31
PSYCHOLOGICAL INFLUENCES	32
MILITARY EDUCATION OF KOREAN OFFICERS	32
JAPANESE MILITARY INFLUENCE	36
IMPACT OF THE KOREAN WAR	40
UNITED STATES MILITARY INFLUENCES	40
SUMMARY	42
FOUR. MILITARY SYMBOLOGY	46
FIVE. CONVENTIONAL OFFENSIVE OPERATIONS	48
GENERAL: U.S. AND KOREAN TACTICS	48
THE COORDINATED ATTACK	50
THE EXPLOITATION	52
MOVEMENT TO CONTACT	53
RECONNAISSANCE IN FORCE	54
PURSUIT	54
SPECIAL ATTACK OPERATIONS	55
The Night Attack	55
Cold Weather Operations	55
River-crossing Operations	56
Mountain Operations	56
Fortified Area Operations	57

Recommended Citation

"Wartime Interoperability Problems Posed by Differences in South Korean and United States Army Tactics", September 17, 2012, <https://nautilus.org/foia-document/wartime-interoperability-problems-posed-by-differences-in-south-korean-and-united-states-army-tactics/>

*Note: Page orientation fix; page layout flips between pg 92 and 93

During the Korean War, the Republic of Korea places its military under the control of then United Nations commander, General Douglas MacArthur. The United Nations Command (UNC) headquarters, overwhelmingly made up of foreign officers, was responsible for the defense and military strategy of the Republic of Korea (ROK). By the mid-1960s, the UNC looked to increase ROK participation in order to improve military planning. In 1978, the combined Forces Command (CFC) was established as the headquarters for ROK-U.S. forces in South Korea and the responsibilities of the UNC were taken over by the CFC. The CFC's mission was to enhance interoperability, but few steps have been taken to study and reduce problems caused by differences between the two country's tactics.

This report explores the differences between South Korean and U.S. Army tactics looking at historical factors, social influences, psychological influences and physical influences by exploring conventional attack operations, defense tactics, "special" operations and unconventional warfare operations. The report then examines the degree to which differing tactics pose problems to combined operations and provides recommendations to resolve these problems.

Simpson writes:

"One weakness in the American forces' relations with the Republic of Korea (ROK) Army over the past several decades has been an assumption that the Koreans have patterned themselves after the Americans. Although the Korean Army has patterned many of its tactical concepts after American tactics, in an attempt to achieve a degree of interoperability, they are independent thinkers and have developed a form of fighting which is consistent with the Korean personality, terrain and perceived threat. To achieve the tactical interoperability which is no envisioned to be the cornerstone of allied operations in Korea, it is essential that these differences be recognized and that a concerned attempt be made to reconcile differences which could endanger combined operations." [page 3]

This report was released to the Nautilus Institute under the US Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

View this online at: <https://nautilus.org/foia-document/wartime-interoperability-problems-posed-by-differences-in-south-korean-and-united-states-army-tactics/>

Nautilus Institute

608 San Miguel Ave., Berkeley, CA 94707-1535 | Phone: (510) 423-0372 | Email:

nautilus@nautilus.org