Session 2:

The Conventional Forces of North Korea and Arms Control in Korean Peninsular: Breaking through the Stalemate

Du-Hyeogn Cha

CONTENTS

- □ North Korean Military Capability and Its Threats
- □ Ongoing Military Confrontation Between the South and the North
- □ Arms Control in the Korean Peninsula: The Way too Far
- ☐ Isn't There No Way?
- ☐ Toward the More Peaceful Peninsula and East Asia

North Korean Military Capability and Its Threats (1)

- Continuous Conventional Force Construction Despite Economic Difficulties
 - ✓ 'Selection & Concentration' in development and deployment of the military force
 - ✓ About 70% of ground forces deployed south of the Pyongyang-Wansan Line
 - ✓ Long-range artillery aiming Seoul Metropolitan Area and its capability improvement
 - ✓ Enhancement of special forces
 - ✓ Efforts for acquisitioning of new submarines, torpedoes, and missile patrol boats

North Korean Military Capability and Its Threats (2)

- ✓ Maintenance of missile hovercrafts for amphibious attack
- √ 40% of Air Force capabilities deployed to forward bases
- Myth on the 'Inferior Military Capability of North Korea'
 - ①ROK's Overwhelming superiority in economic power and defense budget? → considerable hidden military expenditure of North Korea
 - ② Obsolete Weapons/Equipment of KPA? → old modeled, but brand-new

North Korean Military Capability and Its Threats (3)

- 3 Lack of training/exercise? → very long service term of KPA and veteran troops
- 4 Anachronistic believe in '3:1 advantage of defenders' → modern warfare and the merit of surprise attack
- ⑤ Ultimate victory of ROK in war by the superiority of 'war sustaining capability?' → deterrence rather than defense
- Substantial threats from Weapons of Mass Destruction of North Korea
 - √ 2,500 to 5,000 tons of chemical weapons

North Korean Military Capability and Its Threats (4)

- ✓ Suspected biological weapons as anthrax, smallpox, and cholera
- √ Wide variety of ballistic missiles
 - Scud B/C (300km to 500km range, operationally deployed)
 - Rodong (1,300km range, operationally deployed)
 - Musudan IRBM (3,000 km range, operationally deployed)
 - Taepodong (More than 6,700km range, under development)

Ongoing Military Confrontation Between the South and the North (1)

- ➤ Military confrontation and periodic conflicts since Armistice Agreement of 1953
- > Three major armed skirmishes taken place from 2009
 - ✓ Daecheong Naval Campaign (November 10, 2009): navy clash near Northern Limit Line
 - ✓ The Sink of Cheonan (March 26, 2010): a ROK patrol corvette was sank by the torpedo of North Korea
 - ✓ The Yeonpyeong Shelling: North Korea fired more than 100 shots against Yeonpyeong Island

Ongoing Military Confrontation Between the South and the North (2)

- No reliable institutional mechanism
 - ✓ North Korea's refusal of Military Armistice Commission since mid-1990s
 - ✓ Stalemate of South-North High-level Military Talks since 2008
 - √ Suspension of political talks
- Domestic politics of North Korea and its implications on military provocation
 - ✓ Army-first Politics: a compromise between the supreme leaders and military corps?

Ongoing Military Confrontation Between the South and the North (3)

- ✓ Distorted Party-Military relations: still unstable succession system
- ✓ Corporate interests of KPA: provocations as measures for enhancing political position?
- ➤ Motivation of North Korea on limited provocation Unless 2nd Korean War
 - √ Relatively low political/military burden
 - ✓ Appealing its own justification
 - ✓ Inducing diplomatic support from some neighboring countries
 - ✓ Stimulating the dialogue with the U.S.

Arms Control in Korean Peninsula: a way too far (1)

- > So many suggestions, but not realized
 - ✓ Despite some preliminary measures, No meaningful progress in real arms control
 - Military assurance for inter-Korean crossing in land and sea
 - Improvements of the inter-Korean military communications
 - Periodic dialogues
 - ✓ No fixed negotiation channel for arms control
 - ✓ Propaganda, rather than reliable policy (North Korea)

Arms Control in Korean Peninsula: a way too far (2)

- > Why so many suggestions have been 'Much ado about nothing?'
 - √ Lack of sincerity and political/economic motivation
 - ✓ Unrealistic approaches (ex. Mutual disarmament to 100,000 soldiers proposed by the North)
 - ✓ Aiming at exploitation of the counterpart's disadvantage
 - ✓ Appliance of European experiences without cautious review

Arms Control in Korean Peninsula: a way too far (3)

- Passive approaches among neighboring countries on peninsular arms control issues
 - √ Focused on the maintenance of status quo instead of on fundamental policies to alleviate inter-Korea tensions
 - ✓ Regional actors have sought the 'hedging strategy' by cultivating a level of cooperation with both the South and the North
 - ✓ Relative unconcern on conventional arms control after emerging nuclear issues
 - √ The lack of the consensus on regional arms control

Isn't There No way? (1)

- > Starting from resolution of political distrusts between the South and the North
 - ✓ Adopting measures and policies that reflect the real political approval on each other
 - ✓ Restoration & institutionalization of political/military dialogue channels
 - ✓ Frank discussions on the implementation of existing bilateral agreements including the 'Basic Agreement'
 - ✓ Compliance on the agreed or de facto ground/maritime boundary including NLL

Isn't There No way? (2)

- > Stable armistice system prior to 'Peace Treaty'
 - ✓ Restoring the mechanisms/institutions that assure the armistice including the Military Armistice Commission and the Neutral Nations Supervisory Commission
 - ✓ More active approach on the Peace Treaty, but not adhering to specific option or roadmap
 - ✓ Linkage between economic cooperation/aid and arms control dialogues between two Koreas

Isn't There No way? (3)

- > Cooperative engagement of neighboring countries
 - ✓ Common approaches and messages against military provocation in Korean Peninsula
 - ✓ Developing institutional mechanisms for resolving peninsular/regional conflicts (ex. the establishment of East Asia Crisis Management Center within DMZ)
 - ✓ Preparing regional arms control options that can corresponds with the South-North arms control, especially on the issues of WMD

Toward the More Peaceful Peninsula and East Asia (1)

- Pursuing step-by-step approach toward the positive changes of North Korea
 - √ Step 1: Relatively non-hostile North Korea
 - √ Step 2: Transparent and WMD-free North Korea
 - ✓ Step 3: North Korea as a responsible stake-holder for coexistence
 - ✓ Step 4: North Korea as a partner in common prosperity era
 - ✓ Step 5: North Korea as a partner for peaceful unification

Toward the More Peaceful Peninsula and East Asia (2)

- Supporting North Korean leaders to transform its rational of political legitimacy
 - √ From military confrontation to coexistence
 - √ From personal idolization to policy outcomes
 - √ From 'Army-first Politics' to check & balance among state apparatus
- ➤ The enhanced multilateral cooperation also needed